



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/064,837	337 08/22/2002		Aldo A. Laghi	1098.30	3118	
21901	7590	02/26/2004		EXAMINER		
SMITH &	HOPEN F	PA	STEWART	STEWART, ALVIN J		
15950 BAY	VISTA D	RIVE				
SUITE 220			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CLEARWA	TER, FL	33760	3738	3738		

DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					<i>('\fi</i>				
•		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)					
γ		10/064,837	,	LAGHI, ALDO A.					
ĕ 1	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Alvin J Stev		3738					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum star- tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply vereply received by the Office later than three months af- ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even unication.) days, a reply within the statut utory period will apply and will will, by statute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be ti ory minimum of thirty (30) da expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror ation to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. The mailing date of this communi ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.				
Status									
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on							
′=	•	b)⊠ This action is no	n-final						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from con							
Applicat	ion Papers								
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 November</u> Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	<u>2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ action to the drawing(s) be the correction is require	e held in abeyance. S d if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim a All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation	documents have beer documents have beer of the priority docume nal Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applica nts have been recei e 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stag	l e				
2) Notion Notion Notion	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:)				

Application/Control Number: 10/064,837

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-20 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of copending Application No. 10/064,850. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the difference between claim 1 (line 14) and claim 20 (line 14) of the application and claim 1 (lines 19 & 20) and claim 20 (lines 14 & 15) of the copending application lies in the fact that the copending claims include many more elements and is thus much more specific. Thus the copending invention of claim 1 and 20 is in effect a "species" of the "generic" invention of claims 1 and 20. It has been held that the generic invention is "anticipated" by the "species". See In re Goodman, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Since claims 1 and 20 is anticipated by claims 1 and 20 of the copending application, it is not patentably distinct from claims 1 and 20 of the copending application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 3738

NOTE: The Applicant has not disclosed where the gradual ninety-degree bend starts, therefore, the elongated slot of the copending application 10/064,850 ends in the gradual ninety-degree bend. Hence, the application reads on the copending application.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin J Stewart whose telephone number is 703-305-0277. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00AM-5:30PM(1 Friday B-week off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alvin Stewart February 18, 2004.